Exhibit 12

1 Judge Robert S. Lasnik 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 9 Plaintiff, NO. CR 17-317 RSL 10 DEFENDANT GURU PANDYAR'S v. UNOPPOSED MOTION TO MODIFY THE 11 GURU PRASAD RAO PANDYAR, TERMS OF SUPERVISION 12 NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR: 13 Defendant. MARCH 1, 2019 14 Defendant Guru Prasad Rao Pandyar respectfully moves to modify a condition of the 15 Defendant's supervision. Specifically, Mr. Pandyar asks this Court to modify the terms of his 16 supervision by vacating the electronic monitoring special condition requirement. As grounds for 17 this Motion, Mr. Pandyar states as follows: 18 On January 18, 2018, Mr. Pandyar entered into an Appearance Bond in connection 19 with the pendency of this case. One of the conditions of Mr. Pandyar's pre-trial release is to 20 comply with the Stand Alone Monitoring Component of the Location Monitoring Program, 21 requiring Mr. Pandyar to wear an electronic monitoring device on his ankle. 22 Since the beginning of this and other related legal matters, Mr. Pandyar has been 2. 23 nothing but helpful and cooperative. 24 Mr. Pandyar testified in a civil case, arising from the same facts as this 25 26 criminal matter, providing testimony at the request of the victim (Kyko Global, Inc.) that

1	inculpated himself in criminal acts, both in deposition and later at trial before Judge
2	Pechman.
3	b. Mr. Pandyar has cooperated with the United States Attorney's Office since the
4	indictment in this case was filed in December of 2017.
5	c. Once Mr. Pandyar became aware of the indictment issued against him, he did
6	not attempt to flee, but took the appropriate steps to answer to the indictment.
7	d. Mr. Pandyar has worked, and continues to work, with the victim in this
8	matter to track and trace the money obtained in the fraudulent factoring scheme.
9	3. On February 2, 2019, Mr. Pandyar formally accepted responsibility for his
10	misconduct by entering into an extensive plea agreement with the government. See Dkt. #33.
11	4. Although the conditions of his pre-trial release do not include confinement to house
12	arrest, Mr. Pandyar rarely leaves his home because his primary focus is on his home and his family.
13	Since entering into the conditions of his pre-trial release, Mr. Pandyar has demonstrated this focus
14	on his family and home, and his commitment to accepting responsibility for his actions. Given Mr.
15	Pandyar's exemplary performance on supervision, his cooperation with the government, and his
16	strong ties to the United States, the electronic monitoring is no longer necessary.
17	Mr. Pandyar respectfully requests this modification to remove the physical discomfort that
18	the electronic monitoring device produces, and to remove the constant emotional stress it lays upon
19	his wife and daughter every time they see the monitoring device on Mr. Pandyar's ankle.
20	WHEREFORE, the Defendant requests that his conditions of supervision be modified by
21	removing the electronic monitoring requirement.
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Case 2:17-cr-00317-RSL Document 36 Filed 02/21/19 Page 3 of 3

1	DATED this 21st day of February, 2019.	
2		COLVIN & HALLETT, P.S.
3		
4		s/John M. Colvin John M. Colvin, WSBA# 20930
5		John M. Colvin, WSBA# 20930 Attorney for Defendant Guru Pandyar 719 Second Avenue, Suite 1450
6		Seattle, WA 98104
7		TEL: 206-223-0800 FAX: 206-467-8170
8		EMAIL: jcolvin@colvinhallettlaw.com
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
,	•	

719 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 1450
MILLENNIUM TOWER
SEATTLE, WA 98104
(206) 223-0800 FAX (206) 467-8170

Case 2:17-cr-00317-RSL Document 36-1 Filed 02/21/19 Page 1 of 1

1		Judge Robert S. Lasnik	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
8			
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
10	Plaintiff,	NO. CR17-317 RSL	
11	v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S UNOPPOSED MOTION	
12	GURU PRASAD RAO PANDYAR,	TO MODIFY THE TERMS OF SUPERVISION	
13	Defendant.	***************************************	
14			
15			
16	_	nt Guru Prasad Rao Pandyar's Unopposed Motion	
17	to Modify the Terms of Supervision, HEREBY Gl	RANTS Defendant's Motion.	
18	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the el	ectronic monitoring requirement under the terms	
19	of Defendant's supervision is vacated.		
20	DATED this day of, 2	2019.	
21			
22			
23		Robert S. Lasnik	
24		United States District Judge	
25			
26			
,	•		

COLVIN + HALLETT, P.S.

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on February 21, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing and the
3	related proposed order with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send
4	notification of such filing to all registered parties.
5	DATED this 21st day of February, 2019.
6	
7	COLVIN & HALLETT, P.S.
8	
9	s/John M. Colvin John M. Colvin, WSBA# 20930
10	Attorney for Defendant Guru Pandyar 719 Second Avenue, Suite 1450
11	Seattle, WA 98104 TEL: 206-223-0800
12	FAX: 206-467-8170 EMAIL: jcolvin@colvinhallettlaw.com
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	